GOVERNMENT

1

OF

THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

+ + + + +

ZONING COMMISSION

+ + + + +

PUBLIC HEARING

IN THE MATTER OF: |

TRINITY COLLEGE | Case No. | 00-37 CP |

Thursday
January 18, 2001

Hearing Room 220 South 441 4th Street, N.W. Washington, D.C.

The Public Hearing of Case No. 00-37 CP by the District of Columbia Zoning Commission convened at 7:00 p.m. in the Office of Zoning Hearing Room at 441 4th Street, Northwest, Washington, D.C., Anthony J. Hood, Chairperson, presiding.

### ZONING COMMISSION MEMBERS PRESENT:

ANTHONY J. HOOD Chairperson
CAROL J. MITTEN Vice Chairperson
HERBERT M. FRANKLIN Commissioner
KWASI HOLMAN Commissioner
JOHN G. PARSONS Commissioner

# COMMISSION STAFF PRESENT:

Alberto P. Bastida, Secretary, ZC Gerald Forsburg, Office of Zoning

### OTHER AGENCY STAFF PRESENT:

Andrew Altman, Director, Office of Planning Lara Belkind, Office of Planning David McGettigan, Office of Planning

D.C. OFFICE OF CORPORATION COUNSEL:

Alan Bergstein, Esq.

Marie Sansone, Esq.

# I-N-D-E-X

Preliminary Matters4
Presentation by Paul Tummonds, Esq. on behalf of Trinity College
Presentation by Patricia McGuire, President,  Trinity College
Presentation by Phillip Renfrow, Project Architect
Presentation by Cullen Elias, O.R. George & Associates
Commission Questions
Office of Planning Report, David McGettigan49
Closing Remarks of the Applicant

#### P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

2 (7:15 p.m.)

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Good evening, ladies and gentlemen. This is the public hearing of the Zoning Commission of the District of Columbia for Thursday, January 18, 2001.

My name is Anthony J. Hood, Chairperson of the Zoning Commission of the District of Columbia. Joining me this evening are Commissioners Herbert M. Franklin, Kwasi Holman, John G. Parsons, and Carol Mitten who serves as Vice Chair.

The subject of this evening's hearing is Zoning Commission Case No. 00-37CP, the Trinity College Campus Plan. As published in the <u>D.C. Register</u> on Friday, December 8, 2000, the review of the campus plan has been transferred from the Board of Zoning Adjustment to the Zoning Commission. Therefore, this case which was originally scheduled to heard by the Board of Zoning Adjustment on Tuesday, January 9, 2001 is being heard this evening under the jurisdiction of the Zoning Commission.

Copies of today's hearing agenda are available to you and are located to my left near the door.

The order of procedure is as follows: preliminary matters, certifications of the maintenance of posting, identification of parties, Applicant's case, report of the Office of Planning, report of other agencies, report of the Advisory Neighborhood Commission, I believe it's 5-C, am I correct? Parties and persons in support, parties and persons in

opposition, closing remarks by the Applicant.

All persons planning to testify, either in favor or in opposition are to fill out two witness cards. These cards are located at each end of the table in front of us.

Upon coming forward to speak to the Commission, please give both cards to the Reporter who is sitting to my right.

Cross examination of witnesses is permitted by the Applicant or parties. The ANC within which the property is located is automatically a party in this case.

The record will be closed at the conclusion of each case, except for any materials specifically requested by the Commission and the staff who will specify at the end of the hearing exactly what is expected.

To avoid any appearance to the contrary, the Commission requests that persons present not engage the Members of the Commission in conversation. Please turn off all beepers and cell phones at this time so not to disrupt these proceedings.

The Commission will now consider any preliminary matters. Preliminary matters are those that relate to whether a case will or should be heard today, such as requests for postponement, continuance or withdrawal or whether proper and adequate notice of the hearing has been given. If you are not prepared to go forward with the case today, or if you believe

1 the Commission should not proceed, now is the time to raise such a matter. I don't believe we have that issue, but before I ask 2 3 staff for preliminary matters, I want to apologize for the lateness we had in Executive Session, so I ask you, beg you for 4 5 your indulgence. Staff, do you have any preliminary matters? 6 7 MR. BASTIDA: Yes, Mr. Chairman. The affidavit of posting is correct and it was done in a timely fashion and 8 9 secondly, the Applicant has just provided a letter for us from 10 Advisory Neighborhood Commission 5-C that is addressed to you with attention to me and I will be distributing that in a few 11 minutes. 12 13 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay, thank you. That concludes staff preliminary 14 MR. BASTIDA: 15 matters. CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay, thank you, Mr. Bastida. 16 I'm going to ask Mr. Paul Tummonds, I believe, who is a 17 representative of the Applicant, the counsel, okay, I'm going to 18 ask you about how much time do you think you need and let me 19 20 give you notice that we have your submittals. We have read them, so I would ask you to consider that when you're are giving 21 22 the amount of time that you need. MR. TUMMONDS: I believe that we can complete our 23 presentation in about 15 minutes and then our panel is here to 24

answer any questions that you may have.

1	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay, thank you. Colleagues,
2	if everything is in order I will ask you to proceed with your
3	case.
4	Hold on one second, please.
5	MR. BASTIDA: I have to swear the witnesses.
6	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Did I read that in the
7	statement?
8	MR. BASTIDA: You did.
9	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: I did? Okay.
10	Well, let's swear the witnesses then .
11	MR. BASTIDA: Do you swear or affirm that the
12	testimony you are about the give is the truth?
13	WITNESSES: Yes.
14	MR. BASTIDA: Thank you.
15	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay, Mr. Tummonds, you may
16	proceed.
17	MR. TUMMONDS: Thank you, Madam Chair
18	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Thank you. I've been called a
19	lot worse.
20	(Laughter.)
21	MR. TUMMONDS: A little levity here. My name is
22	Paul Tummonds. I'm with the law firm of Shaw, Pittman. We're
23	here this evening to present the further processing application
24	of the approved Trinity College campus plan for the new Trinity
25	Center for Women and Girls in Sports. With me here this evening

1 is Patricia McGuire, President of Trinity College; Phillip Renfrow, Project Architect; and Cullen Elias, the Traffic 2 3 Engineer. We have provided copies of Mr. Renfrow and Mr. 4 Elias' résumés to the staff and we would ask that both of these 5 gentlemen be admitted as expert witnesses. 6 7 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Colleagues, we have in front of us Mr. Renfrow and Mr. Elias --8 9 MR. ELIAS: Elias. 10 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Elias, I'm sorry. 11 We've been asked to say that they're expert witnesses. objection? I hear no objection. We will. They are expert 12 13 witnesses. Thank you. We believe that the 14 MR. TUMMONDS: 15 statement of Applicant was quite thorough in discussing Trinity College's need for this facility, the project's conformance with 16 the approved campus plan as well as this project's satisfaction 17 of the requirements of Section 210 of the zoning regulations. 18 Since the Office of Planning, the Department of 19 20 Public Works and ANC 5-C have all submitted reports or letters supporting our project, our witnesses are prepared to provide 21 22 brief presentations and then be willing to address any questions that you may have. 23 With that, I'll turn to our first witness, Pat 24

McGuire, President of Trinity College.

1 MS. McGUIRE: Good evening, Mr. Chair and --(Laughter.) 2 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Thank you. 3 MS. McGUIRE: -- and Members of the Panel. It's a 4 5 great pleasure to be here tonight and I really want to thank you for the time and attention you have given to our application. 6 7 My colleagues are going to describe the details of this project. 8 9 I think the most important thing I can do for you 10 is to summarize why this project is important for Trinity College and also important for the District of Columbia. 11 Trinity College is one of the District of 12 13 Columbia's great educational treasures. It is 103 years old 14 this year. It is the only remaining 15 free-standing women's college in the greater Washington region. 16 It is one of only 21 Catholic women's colleges still operating in the nation. There used to be almost 190 such institutions. 17 It is an increasingly small sector and we continue to be 18 considered the leader of the sector and we're growing stronger 19 20 each day. This new facility, the Trinity Center for Women 21 22 and Girls in Sports which is the campus center on the master plan that was approved by the Board of Zoning Adjustment in 23 1996, this is the very first, full-fledged indoor athletic 24

facility that Trinity College has ever had in a full century.

Not only that, it is the first new building that Trinity College has constructed in 35 years.

That alone is pretty unusual for a college or university anywhere, let alone in this town. But Trinity has only built what it needed in each generation. Indeed, there have been times when probably Trinity should have built, but it did not have the wherewithal to do such building.

In the 1970s and 1980s when the wave of coeducation hit, colleges like Trinity suffered severe enrollment declines and if we look around the city we see that a number of institutions that once were great institutions of Washington are no longer with us, Marjorie Webster College, Dumbarton College, Mt. Vernon College are all gone. Trinity is the only one remaining. But in that period of time in the 1970s and the 1980s when the universities were building their campuses, because of the enrollment decline in women's colleges, Trinity could not build and continued to modernize its campus in the way that other institutions did.

Trinity made it through that period because of the great hard work of the Sisters of Notre Dame who founded Trinity and all of the lay men and women who formed common cause with them for this important mission.

But we soon learned that hard work was not enough and to recover from that rather devastating period in our institutional history, a time when, in fact, we almost did

2.2

close, it was really necessary for Trinity to look at some substantial changes in who it served and how it served the students.

Trinity today still believes that the undergraduate education of women is our core and primary mission and our women's college continues today in our College of Arts and Science. Trinity started our weekend college in 1985 to educate the adult working women of the District of Columbia in the Washington region and today that program is our School of Professional Studies.

Perhaps Trinity's most well-known program locally today is our School of Education which educates teachers and principals for the District of Columbia public schools and also our Catholic schools and schools throughout this region as well.

I should also note in the last 10 years the profile of Trinity student body who we serve has changed rather dramatically. While we still, in fact, focus on the education of women, today, our student body consists of 75 percent students who are

African-American and Latina and nearly 50 percent of our students are from the District of Columbia. Of that 50 percent, nearly 40 percent are graduates of the District of Columbia public schools. In fact, it's very important to note that for the D.C. Tuition Assistance Program, Trinity is the largest private college provider in the D.C. Tuition Assistance Program

2.2

with nearly 65 students participating in the D.C. Tuition Assistance Program.

Now students choose Trinity today, students from the District of Columbia, students from all over the country, women students, because of our strong academic reputation. We are co-educational in our graduate program for teachers and school administrators. They also choose Trinity for our strong academic reputation. They also like to be in Washington. They also like our warm and caring and relatively small campus environment.

But many students today, particularly young women coming out of school, find that a college campus without athletic facilities is simply inadequate, indeed, it's a real turnoff and our lack of athletic facilities continues to be an economic challenge for us in terms of recruiting enough students to make the college economically viable.

A women's college stands for equal opportunity and yet we are not giving them equal facilities, that they could find on other campuses.

So we determined that this project, the construction of the first athletic center on our campus, along with the renovation of an older building, Alumnae Hall, to create a real student center was necessary to have a positive impact on Trinity's ability to recruit and retain the kinds of students that will make our college viable longer term.

2.2

We have 1500 students in all of our degree programs, undergraduate and graduate. We suspect that the largest impact will be on the full-time population which is currently 450 students of whom right now 150 are resident. We would like to see those numbers grow to approximately 700 full-time undergraduate students with approximately 500 resident and if we hit that number, we would still be at only half the student population we were at in 1969 when we had our larger student population.

Now let me tell you why, in addition to helping Trinity's full-time undergraduate population and also expanding service to our other populations, let me tell you why this project is also important to the District of Columbia and it relates to Trinity's health and vitality and also the services we can provide to the city.

According to the most recent report released by the D.C. Department of Employment Services, in spite of Trinity's relatively small size, we are the 100th largest employer in the District of Columbia with 250 employees. We generate approximately \$25 million a year in economic impact. So Trinity's health and vitality is important to sustain because in our part of Northeast Washington that employment source and that economic impact is very, very important for our communities in Brookland and Edgewood as well.

Trinity recently was able to gain for the District

of Columbia several very important grants and it's an example of the kind of economic benefit we bring. We recently were the only university in the city to secure a Department of Labor grant, \$1.5 million for workforce education in partnership with Edgewood Terrace, Marriott International in the D.C. Workforce Investment Council.

We also have secured nearly \$1 million in grants for teacher technology training from America Online and also from the U.S. Department of Education, mostly focused on training teachers from the District of Columbia public schools. That's the kind of economic benefit that we've been able to bring that returns directly to the District of Columbia, our teachers and our children. There's another kind of community benefit that this project is going to make it possible for Trinity to deliver to our neighbors in Brookland and Edgewood and Bloomingdale and also to the city.

As we develop this project and as we begin to talk civic with leaders neighborhood and Advisory our our Neighborhood Commission, we realize that the best way for this project to be most useful for Trinity in our neighborhood was to ensure that there was a very strong community program and component in everything that we do in our new Trinity Center for Women and Girls in Sports. We chose to focus on women and girls because that's our history and tradition. We will, in fact, have programs in this facility that will be for children, after

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

school, weekends, summer camp programs. We also will welcome young people into the building and organize sports programs and we have assured our Advisory Neighborhood Commission that we will have swimming times and other kinds of recreation times for the adults and the seniors. We will have wellness and fitness and other kinds of community programming in this building.

Trinity has a strong track record of providing space for all different kinds of community programming in our part of town. We have been happy to host everything from the Mayor's transition team to meetings of the ANCs to most recently the McMillan Sand Filtration Plan Hearings and so forth.

We have no space that can seat more than 300 people right now on our campus. This facility will give us a slightly larger space that will be useful not only for sports and basketball and swimming, but also the gym floor can be converted for a somewhat larger community gatherings and other such meetings as well.

We are proud to be working in partnership with the Advisory Neighborhood Commission. We're very proud that we have the unanimous letter of support from our ANC and we enjoy working with them.

We're also working the 2012 Olympic Coalition.

We've been designated as possibly a practice site for some of the women's sports and some of the amateur athletic sports leading up to the 2012 Olympics. We're partners with the Girl

Scouts and all of their troops in the city. We're partners with the Women's Sports Foundation which is the national Organization that creates programs with women and amateur athletics, the Olympics and women champions.

We also partner with D.C. Scores which is an after school soccer program for children from our schools. Shade Elementary School which is a partner school with us has a team and many others. We host their soccer tournaments for younger children from the D.C. Schools who engage in this literacy plus athletic program.

We've had a lot of support. You see it in our application. It's been very gratifying. We had a ceremonial ground breaking for this project on November 4th, not to preempt any decision, but it was the 100th anniversary of the first time that students arrived on our campus on November 4th, 1900, so we had a little ceremony. We had about 400 people come and those 400 people included a large number of our neighbors and children from the neighborhood and so forth.

We were especially gratified that Council Member Vincent Orange spoke and our ANC Commissioner Debbie Smith spoke and Gyre Lynch, one of our favorite Olympians spoke and so spoke. The other person who came and spoke was Eleanor Holmes Norton and it was just so gratifying. It was the Saturday before the election. She took time to come and speak and during her remarks she said that this is the kind of project that a

2.2

1 college or university should be doing in the city to be of service to the city and to open itself up to our neighbors and 2 3 community. It was very gratifying. I was pleased she came and also to have that kind of endorsement for what we're doing. 4 5 So we're very happy. We believe that, in fact, this is the right thing for Trinity. It will secure our future. 6 7 It will also help to continue the economic development of Ward 5 that is so important to all of us. 8 9 So thanks for listening and I'm happy to answer 10 your questions. 11 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: What we will do, Ms. McGuire, will ask the questions after you all finish your 12 13 presentation. Thank you. Our next witness is Phillip 14 MR. TUMMONDS: 15 Renfrow, Project Architect. MR. RENFROW: Good evening, Mr. Chairman, Members 16 of the Commission. I'm Phillip Renfrow. I'm a principal at 17 Gire Brown Renfrow Architects and we're here representing the 18 design team for this project and the Applicant, Trinity College. 19 20 This is an application for further processing of 21 22 Trinity College's 1996 campus plan for the construction of the Center for Women and Girls in Sport which was outlined in 23 concept in that 1996 campus plan. This also includes selective 24 25 renovations for a portion of the adjoining facility, the existing Alumnae Hall on campus.

I know you're familiar with the campus and where it's located. It's in Northeast Washington surrounded on most all of its perimeter by multi-family residential with some limited neighborhood commercial also in that area. Toward the south end of the campus here to the right side of this illustration, is a D.C. Recreation Department facility as well.

The proposal parallels exactly the location and uses that were outlined in the campus plan for this new facility. It is shown here in this drawing, located right in the center of campus as was proposed in the master plan, a slightly different configuration, but in the exact location and with the exact uses that were proposed for in that document.

It's shown here. It's a 67,000 square foot building on three levels. It will provide educational, recreational, athletic competition, opportunities for students, for faculty and staff and for the community. The project here, shown here will be developed in these three levels, two levels shown here; the first and lowest level being for a swimming pool with associated dressing rooms on either side, equipment and various things that go along with that. Closely associated with that will be our selective renovation of the lowest floor of the existing Alumnae Hall. That will be developed as a Fitness Center which will be a part of this entire complex.

The middle floor of this building will be devoted for gymnasium purposes. It will have two practice courts when not in use for competition or when used as a single court, meeting NCAA requirements, will have a seating capacity of 1600 people on that level with the associated services to support that crowd.

The upper floor shown here in the left side of this illustration will consist of a walking track which will continue around the gymnasium and athletic offices and other support spaces for this facility.

It's being designed very much to be in harmony with the existing Alumnae Hall and you can see from this illustration some of the materials that have been proposed. We've made some slight revisions in the materials from the documents that were previously submitted. This is designed as a brick building with cast stone elements that are used at openings and for detail and ornamentation on the building. It sits against Alumnae Hall which is a limestone building with a clay tile roof. Many of the architectural elements from that existing building are being borrowed to the new facility so that there will be a harmony and a dialogue between both of those buildings.

As I've said, we've made some minor revisions of materials to create an entire brick facade with these limited cast stone elements as you see here in this drawing.

We've also made some revisions to have a standing seam metal roof in the same color as the terra cotta roof tiles as opposed to the materials that were submitted in the original package. But all these elements are designed so that the architectural character of this building, while it will still look new, will be very much -- complement the existing architectural character that's here on campus.

The building itself is approximately 65 feet high from the center of the rear elevation to its roof peak and it remains about 18 feet in height below the existing peak of the adjacent Alumnae Hall.

This is kind of the first phase of what was indicated in the master plan as the campus center. Ultimately, there will be additional renovations of Alumnae Hall to create what's been envisioned as a real campus center to combine recreational and athletic activities along with student life activities in the existing building and this is kind of the first installment of that campus center approach.

While we do not impact any other buildings on campus with this construction, these programs all exist. They have no home right now. We're not taking space from any other building. There will be no backfilling of existing buildings as a result of the construction of this building. However, when you build a building of this scale on campus you impact the site. And there are some relocations that will be a result of

2.2

the construction of the building.

The campus site plan here illustrates that impact. The primary and most significant one is that the current athletic field sits here in this lower part of the campus. This is a big, open space right now. That athletic field will need to be moved as a part o this proposal. It is proposed to be located here in what is now large, natural or man-made actually bowl right here by increasing the depth of that bowl and moving some earth back behind it, we find the area that we require for an NCAA competition field. It's about 385 feet, I believe, by 250 feet, including the safety zones around the field for the three athletic events that will take place there, lacrosse, field hockey and soccer.

Also, an impact to this move is that the existing tennis courts, which are located now in this bowl, will also be relocated as result of this project. They are proposed then to move back to this location between the new building and a rather steep and wooded hillside to the east side of the campus. This will replace the six tennis courts in this staggered fashion here, alongside the building, replace the existing six that are relocated from this position.

There are few other campus impacts. We are, accordance with the campus plan, we're introduced a new entrance along Franklin Street which is illustrated here. This will have direct access to new parking lots which are also part of the

campus plan and also will give us service access directly to this new complex here in the heart of campus. This new service area then will service the proposed athletic facility as well as the existing Alumnae Hall which is the primary dining facility on campus with food service and materials coming in and out.

There are other minor impacts to the campus circulation and parking. We have increased the parking from 331 vehicles to 406 vehicles, a net gain of about 75. That's being done in two locations by redefining this parking lot located here and enlarging it, we increase our spaces located here near the front of the campus center and also introducing a new parking lot here near Franklin Street. And that will give us our net 75 additional spaces that we're proposing are necessary.

We did parking counts originally and based on the occupancy of the campus even with the new building the required number of spaces was like 262 and we're proposing that with these improvements we will reach 406, well in excess of those that would be required just for the spaces that this plan represents.

Also, the impact of course, is to landscaping and we're going to move some earth over here to create this. We will be impacting the existing site in a manner that we need to be very careful about. We are taking down approximately 50 trees as a part of this project. Most of those trees are reasonably insignificant trees, not very large or in poor

condition. They are generally located along a road back here, some trees in this neighborhood and some trees surrounding this bowl-shaped location of the tennis courts. Most of those trees are small and not very large. There are about three trees on the site that we wish we could save, but we're not able to. There's a very handsome red maple, I'm sorry, red oak here, that we would love to save. There's also a magnolia tree in this location that will be disturbed by both excavation and utility trenching that we're not able to save and there's a large oak tree right here which has been significantly pruned back because it currently overhangs the tennis courts and would continue to overhang the athletic field if it remained.

So even though there are about 50 trees all together, the trees themselves are fairly modest with the exception of those three specimen trees and we do have a very elaborate landscape plan for replacement of trees and to increase the landscape inventory of the campus. All of the parking lots, of course, will exceed the minimum requirement by zoning regulations for landscaping within the parking areas. There will be a screen of new trees planted along Franklin Street to provide the zoning required screening of that area from the adjoining residential properties and we will be placing new trees in along this edge of the new field, as well as replacing materials along this hillside so that this hillside can remain a very natural looking slope down to the new athletic

2.2

fields.

So overall, while we are taking away a number of trees, our plan calls for in excess of 130 new trees to be planted and we believe that this will become a very important feature and an asset to the college as they go through.

The project, as we show it to you, as we've submitted, does not produce any objectionable conditions to the neighborhood, noise or traffic or for any student activities. It brings this activity to the center of campus. It's almost 300 feet from any campus perimeter, any campus property line. It will focus those activities surrounding the athletic facility as well as the relocated fields as well as the relocated tennis courts to the center part of campus well away from the surrounding residential neighborhoods.

So it meets the criteria for Section 210 of the zoning regulations, producing no objectionable conditions. It will produce a very modest increase in the FAR of the campus. With this new building we will reach an FAR of .39 versus our allowable of 1.8. And as you can see by this illustration, the campus is quite open and has a lot of open space remaining.

And finally, it will certainly become an asset to the campus. it will be an asset to the community. It will continue to foster the relationship that the college has with the community right now and will allow Trinity to reach that 103 year old vision that they have of educating the whole student,

1 the mind and the spirit and the body when we finally realize the athletic facilities. 2 3 MR. TUMMONDS: Mr. Elias will conclude with a brief presentation regarding the traffic and parking study that 4 5 was prepared by O.R. George & Associates. MR. ELIAS: Mr. Chairman, Members of 6 the 7 Commission, good evening all. I'm Cullen Elias, I'm Vice 8 President of O.R. George & Associates. We are responsible for 9 preparing a traffic study in support of the planned Trinity 10 center. Our study concluded that the Trinity center can be 11 developed as planned without having any adverse impacts on or 12 13 becoming objectionable to neighboring property from a traffic and parking perspective. 14 15 Our study based on discussions with the Department of Public Works, Intermodal Planning Division focused on the 16 There are three entrances to the site 17 entrances to the site. off Michigan Avenue as well as the two adjacent intersections of 18 Irving Street at Michigan and Franklin Street at Michigan. 19 20 We undertook turning moving counts during the weekday as well as on Saturdays and based on an analysis of 21 22 those data, it was determined that the intersections currently operate at acceptable levels of service and there excess or 23 24 reserve capacity of those intersections.

We also obtained accident data from the city and

based on the years 1995 to 1997, based on that data and considering the number of vehicles that enter each intersection during the morning and afternoon peak hours of the weekdays as well as the peak hours on Saturdays, it was felt, it was determined that there were no safety deficiencies at those intersections warranting further evaluation or study.

The campus is provided with a total of 331 spaces. I won't go much into that. Already testimony by Mr. Renfrow pointed out that there are plans to add some 75 more spaces, bringing it to a total of 406 spaces. Those are all paved surface spaces. There is another area of the campus called Lot F which is used for accommodating design events, like all campuses they do have Homecoming and other activities which generate a number of significant number of vehicles requiring significant parking and that parking lot there provides some 214 spaces. So if you were to look at the paved as well as the unpaved spaces, you have a total of some 514 spaces provided on campus.

We undertook parking usage surveys on both weekday and Saturdays. And it should be noted that when we took on the Friday and Saturday including our study there were several nonacademic activities scheduled on campus which included a luncheon, family weekend celebration, ceremonial ground breaking which was already testified about, as well as fund raising activities.

Our surveys indicated that the occupancy, the parking occupancy during the weekdays is in the range of 67 percent. The Saturday observed the parking lot occupancy was 76 percent.

For the Lot F which is that other event parking, the occupancy was 15 percent. This indicates clearly that currently there is -- the parking adequately meets the parking demand and there is excess reserved parking.

The campus is located 0.5 miles to the west of the Brookland Catholic University of America Metro rail station. The campus is also served by WMATA's Metro bus system. There are some six routes which traverse Michigan Avenue and Franklin Street which provide connections to Union Station, Fort Totten, Van Ness, Tenleytown Stations and of course, the Brookland-CUA Station.

The college also operates its own shuttle van service providing, there's one van in operation, 15-passenger van with 20 minute frequencies.

The proposed Trinity Center will include, as was mentioned earlier, some 7,000 square feet of floor area, but an essential element to be the gymnasium which has some seating capacity for 1600 persons. And we evaluated a maximum attendance design event to evaluate the adequacy of the existing parking and assuming some percentage for absenteeism and some percentage for transit and other forms of transportational

modes, we projected that -- the parking demand, the required parking demand would be in the range of 200 to 250 spaces during that maximum attendance event.

We feel that with the some 406 spaces that will be provided at the end of this, as a result of this proposal, that those spaces will adequately cover the design event and will not leave any shortage in parking or cause an intrusion in the neighborhood.

One item I want to point out is the relation to access for the facility. Most of the events, if not all, will be held during the off-peak weekday periods as well as weekday and evening periods, evening and after hours periods as well as on weekends. So the impacts of the facility on your weekday peak hour will be very much insignificant or minimal.

In addition, the proposal includes the provision of a new access route, access entranceway off Franklin Street which Mr. Renfrow is pointing to there which along with the existing entrances off Michigan and there are two gated entrances, one off Franklin and one off 4th Street which will be opened to accommodate design events. With all of those access points, operating during your design event, the traffic impact will be quite dispersed and it will be minimal.

The college also has certain measures in place to ensure efficient access and I would also reference those. Those are indicated on page 18 of our study. They include provide

information to the attendees by the rep. site, by use of flyers, providing security personnel to direct traffic and facility of parking within the campus. We feel that with those measures in place with the distribution of traffic to the various access points, the impact of the proposed facility will be quite minimal.

In conclusion, we want to -- I would like to say again that the proposed Trinity Center will not have an adverse impact on the adjacent roadways or neighborhoods from the perspectives of traffic and parking and can be developed as planned in accordance with the city's zoning regulations.

Thank you.

MR. TUMMONDS: In conclusion, we note that the Office of Planning has recommended approval of the application with eight conditions. Trinity has no objection to including these conditions or those conditions which you deem appropriate in an order approving the project. And therefore, due to the overwhelming support of this project from the ANC, the community, the Office of Planning, the Department of Public Works, we ask that the Commission approve this application by a bench decision and issue a summary order in this case with those conditions from the Office of Planning.

Thank you.

MR. BASTIDA: Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Thank you for your

1	presentation.
2	Colleagues, before I recognize my colleagues, Mr.
3	Bastida?
4	MR. BASTIDA: Yes. Do you accept also the
5	condition of the Department of Public Works?
6	MR. TUMMONDS: Yes, we do.
7	MR. BASTIDA: Thank you.
8	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Let me just say, colleagues, do
9	we have any questions considering they had the ground breaking
10	and all?
11	(Laughter.)
12	Just a little humor. Colleagues, any questions?
13	(Pause.)
14	If not, I'll go first. Commissioner Franklin, you
15	can start.
16	I just have two questions and maybe a comment.
17	I'm going to ask Mr. Renfrow and Mr. Elias to help me out with
18	this. My orientation has always been bad.
19	Franklin Street, could you show me again the
20	entrances on Franklin Street in the proposed new one?
21	MR. RENFROW: There's an existing entrance located
22	on Franklin Street right here in this position between the
23	library and the science building. That gate is typically closed
24	most of the time and is only opened for the convenience of the
2.5	mallaga when they need it as as Mr. Elias wentioned for large

college when they need it or as  ${\rm Mr.}$  Elias mentioned, for large

1 events when they would need to bring parking in from multiple locations. That gate would be open for that purpose. 2 3 The new gate which is located here at the east 4 side of the science building was a part of the master plan and 5 introduces the access to the campus for the purpose of getting to parking and service to the new facility and existing 6 7 facilities in the center of campus. CHAIRPERSON HOOD: I saw the recommendations from 8 9 DPW and Office of Planning. I believe coming down Franklin 10 Street you're coming off of a hill, am I correct? MR. RENFROW: You're high here and coming down the 11 hill this way. 12 13 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: So traffic and I'm not trying 14 to be a traffic expert, the cars are moving pretty fast? 15 MR. RENFROW: There's also a traffic light that stops traffic about here. 16 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: All right. My concern is when 17 you have these big events, you have some fast drivers, not too 18 many in D.C. 19 20 (Laughter.) And they're going to be coming down that hill and 21 I'm on the record so I'm going to use that language. They're 2.2 going to be flying down that hill and my concern is what --23 while I see what the Department of Public Works and OP 24 25 recommend, what other precautionary measures are in place or do

you think that the ones here are satisfactory and will take care of that issue?

MR. ELIAS: Yes, Mr. Chairman, I can take that question. We have looked at the Franklin Street entrance in terms of site distance, in terms of queuing along Franklin Street at the corner of -- at the approach of Franklin at Lincoln Road. It's our evaluation that there are adequate site distances available, there will be for vehicles leaving as well as entering the site through a site entrance. In our study we also recommended that the Applicant utilize the Metropolitan Police Department to provide manual control of the intersection and we also recommended signage to be provided to the approaches of the intersection along Franklin Street.

We think with those measures in place, there wouldn't be any safety deficiencies at that entranceway.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Let me find out a little bit -go into a little more depth for signage. Are you speaking in
reference to those school signs with school signs with the
caution light, is that the kind of sign we're talking about or
just a sign stating slow down, entrance?

MR. ELIAS: That's right. It will be a message sign which says intersection ahead or entranceway ahead, something like that. But we'll be able to warn drivers that they're approaching an intersection and to be aware for vehicles leaving or entering.

1 But we think, most of all, having the manual 2 control at a time when you have major events, that will serve to 3 reduce speeding along the roads as well as enhance safety at that location. 4 5 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Well, I understanding you're asking for Metropolitan Police Department, but community folks 6 7 want to see the Police Department in their community, but my concern again is flying off -- coming down Franklin Street. 8 9 Maybe you want to look into one of those signs when you have an 10 event that will say, a caution sign. And again, I'm not a traffic expert, but I have used that many times. I'm not one of 11 the speeders, but I have been down there many times. 12 13 Okay --MR. ELIAS: That's a good point. We will take it 14 15 into consideration. 16 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: My next question, entrance on Michigan Avenue. You have one entrance that's one way and I 17 think that's the horseshoe effect. 18 MR. ELIAS: That's right. 19 20 MR. RENFROW: That's correct. CHAIRPERSON HOOD: The next entrance, I guess my 21 22 concern is the playing field is going to be moved in that open area, when you come in to your left. 23 How close is that -- how many feet is that away 24 25 from the street?

1 MR. RENFROW: This corner to Michigan Avenue is 2 approximately 200 feet across here. This sits down the hill. 3 Entering off of Michigan Avenue, this U is considerably lower, almost 20 feet lower in elevation, so it sits down very much in 4 5 this bowl. So it would be not only horizontal distance, but also visually sitting much lower. 6 7 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Much lower, okay. Thank you. My last comment is, Ms. McGuire, it's good to see 8 9 if this is approved that Trinity is going to continue the good 10 work that they're doing in the surrounding community. 11 Colleagues, any questions? Commissioner Franklin? COMMISSIONER FRANKLIN: Yes, Mr. Elias, there was 12 13 reference in some of the materials, I can't at the moment put my finger on it, to the possibility of parking, substantial parking 14 15 at Catholic University that might be available on certain occasions and yet, it seems to me what is being proposed on 16 campus seems to be more than sufficient. I'm just curious as to 17 -- and maybe President McGuire can answer this. On what 18 occasions would there be need for overflow parking with Catholic 19 20 University and is there a real commitment on their part? MS. McGUIRE: Yes, there is a real commitment on 21 22 their part. We share many different kinds of services from time to time. They've been allowing us to use their field house, for 23 example, until we get our own. 24

The only event that frankly I can imagine even now

which is an event we have once a year is commencement, when there is a significant number of people coming to campus. It's a two-hour only event and we may need to disperse parking for something like that and we had indications -- they have said that we would certainly be able to call on them and use their campus if we needed to divert parking.

We don't anticipate that size event at all in this. We're trying to anticipate everything, but frankly, what our parking colleague here has said I thin is true. I think most of the kinds of events we will have in this new facility will probably bring school children to campus perhaps in school buses and that kind of event. We do not envision individual single cars coming in large number for the kinds of sporting events and other events we envision. But we did want a back up plan in case we need to have a very occasional time, like a commencement, where we needed an extra provision.

COMMISSIONER FRANKLIN: Thank you. One of the conditions, Mr. Renfrow, in fact a couple of them, set forth in the Office of Planning approving the recommendation, calls for - let's see, number 5 says a comprehensive landscape plan for the campus which identifies trees to be preserved and removed should be developed, etcetera.

Am I looking at such a comprehensive landscape plan in drawing LA-102 called detailed landscape plan, campus center?

1 MR RENFROW: That was the protection and 2 preservation plan. It was not developed at the time the 3 submission was made. It has been developed and is in the process of continuing right now and we have identified, as I 4 5 mentioned in my testimony earlier, some 130 plus trees that scheduled for replanting, as well as acknowledging the Office of 6 7 Planning's concern about the number of trees that are being 8 removed. 9 MR. TUMMONDS: I think to add to that, 10 Franklin, condition number 2 requires that the Applicant should 11 address the tree preservation, planting, soil control and construction and management practices in an environmental impact 12 13 statement. I think that means the environmental impact screening form process which is part of the building permit 14 15 process now and that plan would be submitted to Office of 16 Planning for review and comment as well as the Department of Health through their review of the building permit process. 17 Thank you. COMMISSIONER FRANKLIN: 18 You've answered my question which was a concern with the statement, the 19 20 environmental impact statement which I don't think was intended. I hope it was not intended. And you agree. 21 22 MR. TUMMONDS: Yes, we agree. COMMISSIONER FRANKLIN: That's all I have, Mr. 23 Chairman. 24 25 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Thank you, Commissioner Franklin.

Colleagues, any other questions? Commissioner Mitten?

VICE CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: I would first like to say that I was educated by the Sisters of Notre Dame for 12 years so I can imagine what a special place Trinity College is.

COMMISSIONER FRANKLIN: And if she is evidence of it, it's a pretty good place.

## (Laughter.)

VICE CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Thank you. I did have a couple of questions though. The location of the proposed athletic field, is that going to impact what I believe is called Lot F which is the unpaved area in terms of capacity?

MR. RENFROW: No, in fact, this illustration does not show it, but we have done detailed layout of parking to achieve the number of spaces that have been identified here, 214 or 204, I believe, and they fit nicely in this location, even with the regrading that is required to achieve the full-size field that's necessary and that's been done. Mr. McGettigan has seen that drawing and it does illustrate the ability to achieve that number of spaces in this area.

VICE CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay. In the approved campus plan there was some discussion about adding security at the gates and I also have a related question about the new access point on Franklin which is depending on what -- there

were some statements that seemed to minimize the use of the Franklin Street entrance and the fact that it's gated would suggest to me it's relatively minimal use, but then in the minutes of the meeting that the college had with the ANC and other community folks, there was a question posed about the Franklin Street gate and I think -- well, in the minutes it says the Trinity College representatives responded that the Trinity center would be available to all neighborhood residents and that the Franklin Street entrance is expected to be open at all times during the day. So can you reconcile that for me?

MR. RENFROW: Well, the location on Franklin Street, if you have been to the campus you'll understand this is the low part of the campus and it rises to the highest elevation approximately where Main Hall sites. So this portion of the campus is visually isolated from the main part of the campus, so it's the college's desire and I don't think they know exactly how often the gate is going to be open or closed, but to have some level of control, a gated entrance on this side, just for security reasons. At night, they can close it off. Once events, parking lots empty, they can lock that gate, but I think during the day because of frequency of traffic in and out, for servicing of this building and for access to this parking lot as well as for community access, both walking and vehicular, that that gate would typically remain open.

MS. McGUIRE: Okay. If I could just add to that,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

we are working with the ANC liaison function on exactly how the center will be used and how the programming would be used. So I think the reference in those minutes was to the fact, as Mr. Renfrow just said, it is probably, most likely that during normal business hours of operation that will be open because students will need to access the parking lot and our neighbors from Shade Elementary of Franklin Commons might want to come over. We will be scheduling times when the community will have access to the center for things like open swims or things like that. And that we will work out with the community liaison committee that we've established with the ANC.

The gate will definitely be closed at night after normal operating hours and we don't know quite what those are. We would close that because one of our other obligations is campus security and we do have the benefit of being a self-contained campus and the ability to close the campus definitely helps us in terms of security.

Our security program is also looking at how much additional security will be necessary during the day time and that sort of thing, but access, while also maintaining the security presence, if you will, is a balance and we'll work with the community on that.

VICE CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay, and then a question about pedestrian circulation and I know that was another area of concern in the approved campus plan that was

2.2

expressed.

In terms of the athletic field itself and getting

-- I assume people will generally be going from the field when
an event has concluded and going into the campus center and it
seemed that there was sidewalk only on the side of the street
that the campus center is located on. There isn't sidewalk on
the field side of that road and can you just talk a little bit
about pedestrian safety in that kind of a context?

MR. RENFROW: Your assumption, I think, is correct, that leaving the field one would come back to the dressing rooms, for instance here, if you're participating in an athletic event. Or you might be going to the dorm or to this dorm facility here. As you mentioned, most of the sidewalk development is on this side of the road, principally because we saw that as the primary access for vehicles. There's a small drop off place for a bus or for a team to drop off players here, if they're coming here or for an accessible facility for this building as well.

Most of the -- we've all been on fields and seen people moving in and through them and because this is an athletic field people are moving around all over the place. We didn't feel like directing traffic necessarily from this to this location or even up to the center of campus was really necessary. It's a big open field. It's a big open area. The fact that it is an athletic field is simply there are stripes on

the ground. It's not really anything but grass. So this entire area, part of our goal is to try to keep this open, visually open, the center of the campus and still have activity there, but we just didn't feel the need, given the numbers of people we're talking about, the frequency of going back and forth, we don't believe we're going to be wearing out the grass in any particular path back and forth, that it was really necessary to encumber that side with sidewalks. VICE CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Well, I was just trying to pick up on a concern that was expressed in the campus plan which is that not so much people wandering aimlessly through the campus, I mean I don't think that's a problem, just to keep pedestrians out of the street, so to the extent that there's not a sidewalk on that side and they want to walk on something, hard surface, they might end up in the street. I don't know if that' s a concern. I raise it for your further consideration. MR. RENFROW: Thank you. VICE CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: That's all I have. CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay, that concludes our questions. First, let me ask before we get to the Office of Planning, is there anyone here from the ANC? Okay. Next, we'll have the Office of Planning's Report. MR. McGETTIGAN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. My name

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

is David McGettigan from the Office of Planning. We've looked
at this application. The Applicant was very willing to work
with us and make some changes that we requested regarding
landscaping. As you can see, they've started to address, and we
looked carefully at the parking because it was of concern. And
they did do some layouts for me of the field parking, the
unpaved parking for events and that there was adequate space
there, I think, 204 or so. And the size of the facility was not
of concern to us. It seems to be in keeping with the rest of
the campus. You see from the rendering that the building is
actually a little lower than Alumnae Hall. The activity that's
going to be there and the use was certainly compatible and
favorable to the neighborhood. So we didn't have any objections
to this. We recommend approval with some conditions which are
mostly taken partially from the campus plan and partially to
preserve the and make sure they consider the environmental
impacts of this extensive grading to create this field which
will require some tree removal and I think they are on their way
to addressing those concerns. And if you have any questions, I
can answer them.
CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Thank you. Mr. McGettigan. Any

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Thank you, Mr. McGettigan. Any questions for the Office of Planning?

COMMISSIONER PARSONS: Yes, I do. Mr. McGettigan, getting back to Mr. Franklin's point earlier on the removal of trees, I'm not familiar with the campus, but we're not talking

about a forested condition here, are we or are we talking about 1 a grassed environment with specimen trees in it? 2 It's mostly a grassed 3 MR. McGETTIGAN: Yes. 4 environment with specimen trees, that's correct. 5 COMMISSIONER PARSONS: And as I understand it they plan to remove 50 or so trees? 6 7 MR. McGETTIGAN: Yes, they do now. indicated, their first plan that they gave me showed a lot more 8 tree removal and we in response to my concerns they've seemed to 9 10 reduce it, the number of trees to be removed. And hopefully, 11 they can make some efforts to save some of the nicer trees out there that are on the edges of the grading. 12 13 COMMISSIONER PARSONS: Through the of use 14 retaining walls and that kind of system? 15 MR. McGETTIGAN: Yes, a low retaining wall or tree well around the tree's root line can sometimes be used to 16 preserve it. It's something that you really can't tell whether 17 or not it can be done until you do a detailed grading plan for 18 19 the site which is usually not done at this phase in the project. 20 So that's why I wanted to give them the benefit of the detailed engineering studies later on and see what they can save. 21 22 COMMISSIONER PARSONS: So you're not suggesting then that a condition of our decision here is another set of 23 plans submitted to us, but rather that would be developed and 24

dealt with at a subsequent level?

1 MR. McGETTIGAN: Yes, I would anticipate that the environmental screening process would be able to handle that 2 3 condition. COMMISSIONER PARSONS: 4 So there would be no 5 condition in an order of our approval regarding the trees as you recommend it or would there? 6 7 MR. McGETTIGAN: The environmental process is one where the Applicant submits a screening form and it's reviewed 8 9 and checked off to make sure things are done and I just wanted 10 to make sure that the tree preservation was not missed in that 11 process. So by stipulating it as a condition, we'll make 12 13 sure that it's addressed. COMMISSIONER PARSONS: I wanted to be sure that we 14 15 didn't leave something in the record that's not desirable for anybody and your number 7 on page 2, you're saying that the 16 construction shall be in accordance with plans marked as Exhibit 17 6 and that's not really what we want to do, is it with regard to 18 the trees? 19 20 MR. McGETTIGAN: Right. COMMISSIONER PARSONS: These plans in here reflect 21 22 a different iteration. MR. McGETTIGAN: Revised plans have been submitted 23 so we should be careful to make sure the correct iteration of 24 25 the plans is put into the order as referenced in the order.

1	Also, the building material of the roof evidently
2	changed from the initial submission so we should make sure that
3	that is reflected in the order.
4	COMMISSIONER PARSONS: All right, well, let's
5	MR. McGETTIGAN: Also, we should note, I spoke
6	with Corporation Counsel earlier today. She said in these in
7	writing these conditions, we should be careful of when we use
8	"should" and "shall" if it's something need to require we need
9	to make sure we use the word "shall" in some of these
10	conditions, particularly the traffic, the Department of Works
11	conditions. They have the word "should" in it that perhaps
12	should be changed to "shall." So in writing the order we should
13	be cognizant of what's intended.
14	COMMISSIONER PARSONS: So we should probably have
15	a condition that urges the minimal removal of trees and give
16	direction to them so that when they go forward they'll have that
17	direction from this Commission?
18	MR. McGETTIGAN: Correct.
19	COMMISSIONER PARSONS: Use of retaining walls,
20	tree wells, the kinds of things you mentioned.
21	MR. McGETTIGAN: Yes.
22	COMMISSIONER PARSONS: Okay, thank you.
23	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay, does the Applicant have
24	any questions of the Office of Planning?
25	MR. TUMMONDS: We don't have any questions.

1	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay, again, there are no ANC,
2	no representative from the ANC 5-C.
3	The report of the other agencies, we do have
4	something in the record from the Department of Public Works
5	which has already been discussed.
6	The report of the Advisory Neighborhood
7	Commission, ANC, there's a letter here from James Barry, the
8	Chairperson of ANC 5-C in support. There's so much on here I
9	haven't seen whether it's unanimous, but I believe it was
10	unanimous. Okay.
11	MR. BASTIDA: Mr. Chairman, are you waiving your
12	guidelines to accept the late filing of the report, of the ANC
13	report?
14	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: The ANC?
15	MR. BASTIDA: Yes.
16	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Colleagues, do we have any
17	problems with accepting the ANC report? No problems, so waived.
18	Okay, persons in support? Persons in opposition?
19	Closing remarks by the Applicant.
20	MR. TUMMONDS: Again, we thank you for your time
21	this evening and we would ask that the Commission render a bench
22	decision this evening supporting this application.
23	Thank you very much.
24	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Thank you. Colleagues, we have
25	in front of us a request for a bench decision, but before we

proceed to discussion, I want to caution us. There are some things -- I want us to -- if we so desire to move forward tonight, I want us to be able to leave a little flexibility for our Corporation Counsel to be able to tweak some of these conditions because I'm not sure if some of them are enforceable. So with that, I will open it up for discussion.

COMMISSIONER FRANKLIN: Mr. Chairman, I'm prepared to move the approval of the application, subject, in general, to the conditions recommended by the Office of Planning and agreed to by the Applicant.

I agree with Mr. Parsons' comment that maybe we might be a little bit more general, if I understood it, with respect to some of the landscaping conditions.

In making the motion, I'd like to say that I would like to commend President McGuire for two things. One is the obvious command of her material and the substance of this, it is not often that we have the President of an institution before us who obviously is intimately acquainted of all aspects of the proposal; and secondly, for an obvious commitment of the institution, I'm sure under your leadership, to provide continuing really important service, not only to the students, but also to the community. I think it's possibly a pace setter for the who university community in the District and I hope that your colleagues are watching you as a model.

CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay, we have a motion.

2.2

1 COMMISSIONER FRANKLIN: Yes, the DPW condition 2 would also be included. 3 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Commissioner Franklin, could I 4 just make a friendly amendment? My concern again is the caution 5 signal and I guess I don't want to say "shall", but I want to leave it optional. If I can just say "should". 6 7 Mr. Bastida, if we can just put that in there 8 because I don't want that to hold up progress. But if you look 9 at it, the traffic expert looks at it and sees that it is 10 needed, but if we can just put that in as a condition as "should" not "shall" from what I'm hearing from Mr. McGettigan. 11 MR. BASTIDA: Sure, Mr. Chairman. 12 13 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay, with that, colleagues, any other comments before I ask for a second? 14 15 COMMISSIONER PARSONS: I'll second the motion 16 CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay, it's been moved and properly seconded. All those in favor by using the sign of 17 voting? 18 (AYES.) 19 20 Any opposition? So ordered. Staff, would you record the vote? 21 22 MR. BASTIDA: Yes, Mr. Chairman, the staff would record the vote 5 to 0. Mr. Franklin moving, Mr. Parsons 23 remaining Commissioners voting 24 seconding, the in 25 affirmative.

1	Thank you.
2	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay, thank you, Ms. McGuire, l
3	also would like to associate myself with the comments of my
4	colleague, Commissioner Franklin. I will not try to elaborate
5	any more than what he's done, but I will leave it at that.
6	Is there anything else, colleagues? If everything
7	is in order, this hearing is adjourned.
8	(Whereupon, at 8:27 p.m., the hearing was
9	concluded.)
LO	